



Report of Contractor's Performance

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

2/2/2010 11:07 AM
FieldManager 4.5a

Contract: 20090623015, WEYERS ROAD BRIDGE & APPROACHES

Submit separate reports for prime contractor and each subcontractor upon completion of contract.

Report Date October 07, 2009		Project 6500-02-71 : WEYERS RD BRIDGE & APPROACHES		District NEL
Contractor Completion Date October 16, 2009		Road Name Weyers Road		County
Contract Amount \$699,512.00		Amount Subcontracted \$11,174	Prime Contractor or Sub Being Rated (if applicable) MCMULLEN & PITZ CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	
Type of Construction Performed by this Firm installing sheet piling			<input type="radio"/> Prime Contractor <input type="radio"/> DBE <input checked="" type="radio"/> Subcontractor <input type="radio"/> WBE	
Entered By egm, Erich G Masiarchin		Revised By	Revision Date	Revision No.

Performance Factor (Whole Number)	Importance Factor	Rating	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'
5	X 0.30	1.5	Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention to detail no issues
5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion available when needed, worked as quickly as was reasonable.
5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: availability, competence, coordination of work, control of work force/subcontractors, safety, traffic control, extra work (c. c. o.) availability, competence, coordination were adequate.
5	X 0.15	0.8	Cooperation/Control Compliance Consider: public relations, communications, paperwork, willing compliance, frequency of complaints, credibility, integrity, willingness to work out problems, coordination with other contractors no complaints, cooperation was acceptable.
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude adequate.
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipment Consider: type, number, operating condition, suitability adequate. provided the largest vibrotory hammer that fit the work being performed.
Overall Rating (Sum the above 6 ratings)		5.0	District Comments

X Erich G Masiarchin
(Project Engineer Signature)

X _____
(District Construction Engineer Signature)