



Report of Contractor's Performance

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

12/8/2010 11:13 AM
FieldManager 4.5a

Contract: 20100608038, E OF CTH J - LITTLE RIVER RD

Submit separate reports for prime contractor and each subcontractor upon completion of contract.

Report Date August 02, 2010		Project 9085-03-72 : E OF CTH J - LITTLE RIVER RD		District NEL
Contractor Completion Date August 10, 2010		Road Name CTH A		County OCONTO
Contract Amount \$96,986.89		Amount Subcontracted	Prime Contractor or Sub Being Rated (if applicable) MCMULLEN & PITZ CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	
Type of Construction Performed by this Firm Piling Steel Sheet Permanent				<input checked="" type="radio"/> Prime Contractor <input type="radio"/> DBE <input type="radio"/> Subcontractor <input type="radio"/> WBE
Entered By tmm, Todd M Mulvey		Revised By	Revision Date	Revision No.

Performance Factor (Whole Number)	Importance Factor	Rating	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'
8	X 0.30	2.4	Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention to detail McMullen paid close attention to line and grade with each piling driven.
8	X 0.20	1.6	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion McMullen was in and out in less than two weeks.
9	X 0.15	1.4	Supervision Consider: availability, competence, coordination of work, control of work force/subcontractors, safety, traffic control, extra work (c. c. o.) Supervisor was on site multiple times before, during, and after work. Extra work costs were kept to a minimum.
9	X 0.15	1.4	Cooperation/Control Compliance Consider: public relations, communications, paperwork, willing compliance, frequency of complaints, credibility, integrity, willingness to work out problems, coordination with other contractors Force account paperwork was submitted timely and properly the first time. Coordinated well with Oconto Co.'s project.
8	X 0.10	0.8	Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude The crew was big enough. They knew what they were doing and did not hesitate to go the extra mile.
7	X 0.10	0.7	Adequacy of Equipment Consider: type, number, operating condition, suitability The excavator and vibrator were put to the test, but came thru with only one minor breakdown.
Overall Rating (Sum the above 6 ratings)		8.2	District Comments

X _____
(Project Engineer Signature)

X _____
(District Construction Engineer Signature)